

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,218	08/	02/2001	Thomas B. Taylor	34423/237428 5927		
826	7590	07/31/2003				
ALSTON &		_	EXAMINER			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000				TENTONI, LEO B		
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1732	5	
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Amiliant(a)					
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer		09/921,218	TAYLOR ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂 C	Claim(s) $\frac{1-16}{1}$ is/are pending in the application	1.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ 0	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗆 🤇	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗆 0	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) <u></u>	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	lication No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)⊠ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s	s)	-						
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trad PTO-326 (Rev.		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5					

Application/Control Number: 09/921,218 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1732, Examiner Leo Tentoni.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, ``is provided'' is a phrase which can be implied and should not be used in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/921,218

Art Unit: 1732

art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillespie et al (U.S. Patent 5,783,503) in combination with Geus et al (U.S. Patent 5,814,349).

Gillespie et al (see the entire document, in particular, note col. 1, lines 8-16; col. 3, lines 17-42; col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 24; col. 8, line 8 to col. 10, line 18; Figure 4) teach a process of, and apparatus for, making a spunbond nonwoven fabric as set forth in the instant claims (note also the incorporation by reference of Hills, U.S. Patent 5,162,074), except for the aspect of independently controllable blowers, which is taught by Geus et al (see the entire document, in particular, note col. 1, lines 6-13; col. 2, lines 31-58; col. 5, line 18 to col. 6, line 32; Figure 1) and would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/921,218

Art Unit: 1732

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made Gillespie et al in view of Geus et al principally in order to provide varied operating conditions of the apparatus to accommodate a large variety of materials to produce a wide range of products.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (703) 308-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leo B. Tentoni

Page 4

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt July 30, 2003